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The International Network for Government Science Advice
Republic of Estrela

A case study on internal displacement, climate change and planning

10 Minutes Reading Time
Who are the stakeholders?
Who are the stakeholders?

- Prime Minister
- Governor of Tatinya
- Governor of Madasa
- Minister of Foreign & Ethnic Affairs
- Minister of Health
- Minister of Environment
- Minister of Food & Agriculture
- Experts from different disciplines: climate science, environmental science/biodiversity, agriculture, architecture & urban planning, social science/public policy.
- International Funders
- Media
- Police Commissioner - Yuli
- Citizens of Tatinya and Madasa
- Industry
Before the Meeting

Divide yourselves into the following groups (colour indicator at the back of your tag):

- Governor of Tatinya
- Governor of Madasa
- Minister of Foreign & Ethnic Affairs
- Minister of Health
- Minister of Environment
- Minister of Food & Agriculture

The Science Advisor has invited all of you to a meeting to provide your perspectives.

Before the meeting:

- Spend some time on your own (individual) developing your arguments/suggestions
- Share your perspectives amongst your group.
- List down all the your group’s thoughts/suggestions on the flip-chart.

20 minutes
• Form new groups based on the number at the back of your name tag. This group will be made of up of all stakeholders.

• The Science Advisor has invited all of you to a meeting to provide your perspectives within your new groups.

• The Science Advisor is not present physically but we when you are listening to other perspectives, begin to appreciate it through the lens of a science advisor.

• Convene the meeting and share all your perspectives.
Post Meeting Reflections

- What is the role of the science advisor in this situation?

- What perspectives and considerations should be reflected in any advice given?
Considerations

How do you balance the political and economic cost of responding quickly (e.g. in the case of natural disaster) versus the need to spend both time and money in assessing the (shifting) situation and planning for (uncertain) future?
Considerations

How can we reconcile the difference in views (likely to be) offered by different disciplines: environmental scientists, economists, agricultural or political scientists? How can we understand the causes of difference in views? Different questions? Different goals? Philosophies?
Considerations

How to communicate scientific evidence involving a contested issue in a politically charged atmosphere?